

REMARKS

The last Office Action has been carefully considered.

It is noted that claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over the patent to Swanepoel in view of the patent to Appel.

Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 over the patent to Swanepoel in view of the patent to Appel.

At the same time, the Examiner indicated the claims 19-20, 22-25 and 28-37 are allowed.

Also, the claims are objected to for formal reasons.

In connection with the Examiner's rejection of the claims, claims 26 and 27 have been canceled without prejudice.

The allowed claims have been retained in the application.

Also, claim 34 has been canceled as required by the Examiner.

Claims 25, 26, 28, 29, 30, 33 and 34 have been amended to eliminate minor inaccuracies in the claims.

It is therefore believed that the present application now contains the claims which can be allowed.

Reconsideration and allowance of the present application is most respectfully requested.

Should the Examiner require or consider it advisable that the specification, claims and/or drawings be further amended or corrected in formal respects in order to place this case in condition for final allowance, then it is respectfully requested that such amendments or corrections be carried out by Examiner's Amendment, and the case be passed to issue. Alternatively, should the Examiner feel that a personal discussion might be helpful in advancing this case to allowance, he is invited to telephone the undersigned (at 631-549-4700).

Respectfully submitted,



Michael J. Striker
Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No. 27233